Consideration of Comments for Two Eagle

Scoping Period

The Scoping period for the Two Eagle Vegetation Management Project started on 11/22/2017. While comments may be submitted at any time, for the purposes of this scoping period, comments were accepted through 12/29/2017.

Parties Responding to Scoping

Table 1: Parties that Responded

Name	Acronym	Project File Document Name
Baker County	BaCo	20171229_TE_BaCo_ScopingComments
American Forest	AFRC	20171229_TE_AFRC_ScopingComments
Resource Council		
Boise Cascade	BOI	20171229_TE_BoiseCascade_ScopingComments
Greater Hells Canyon	GHCC	20171219_TE_GHCC_ScopingComments
Council		
Oregon Department of	ODFW	20171219_TE_ODFW_ScopingComments
Fish and Wildlife		
Oregon Wild	OW	20171226_TE_OW_ScopingComments
Dick Artley	DA	20171222_TE_Artley_ScopingComments
Glenn Jorgensen	GJ	20171211_TE_GJ_ScopingComment

Comment Analysis & Response

Comments were reviewed by the interdisciplinary team (ID team) to determine if issues or concerns were raised that demonstrated a clear cause-effect relationship and if recommendations/remedies were suggested that would address the issue/concern. Issues raised by multiple parties are listed once. If comments were supportive in nature and provided no issues/concerns or recommendations, they are not analyzed further in this document but are included in the project record.

Table 2: Comment Analysis & Response

ISSUE/CONCERN	RECOMMENDATION/	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
(PARTY/IES ACRONYM) Economics	SUGGESTED REMEDY		
Sale Viability of	Design profitable sales,	Comment considered	
proposed treatments	including cutting over 21"	but no changes	
(BaCo) (BOI)	white fir	needed	
Biomass utilization	Analyze for biomass	Additional	Alternative 2M was developed in response to this comment
(BaCo)	reduction if market	alternatives	
	develops	developed and	
	·	evaluated	
Non-commercial	Analyze for mastication on	Comment considered	
thinning is not the	slopes <35%	but no changes	
most cost-effective		needed	
treatment (BaCo)			
P&N (AFRC)	Would like to see economic	Comment considered	This is already included in the P&N
	viability and support to	but no changes	
	local infrastructure added	needed	
LMZ (BOI)	Analyze for LMZ to improve	Comment considered	
	timber outputs in	but no changes	
	appropriate areas	needed	
Viability of project area	Increase project boundary	Additional	Biomass units were analyzed in response to economic
(AFRC) (BOI)	and find ways to design for	alternatives	concerns and can be found in alternative 2m. Expanding the
	current market	developed and	project boundary was not considered, as the northern
		evaluated	boundary is near the Boulder Park IRA.
Skyline yarding is	Ensure sufficient volume to	Comment considered	
expensive (AFRC)	support sale	but no changes	

ISSUE/CONCERN (PARTY/IES ACRONYM)	RECOMMENDATION/ SUGGESTED REMEDY	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
		needed	
Treatment in OFMS			
and Moist stands			
Moist stands more	Treat more aggressively to	Other - See Remarks	Treatments in moist include HTH and HIM
susceptible to	reduce disturbance threat		
disturbance (BaCo)			
OFSS deficient on	Convert OFMS to OFSS	Comment considered	Treatments already included in proposed action to move dry
landscape (AFRC) (BOI)		but no changes	OFMS to OFSS
		needed	
OFMS (GHCC) (OW)	Develop an alternative to	Additional	Alternative 3 was developed to reduce treatments to
	maintain and enhance all	alternatives	promote OFMS to OFSS on dry sites
	OFMS	developed and	
		evaluated	
RHCA Treatments			
Riparian areas are	Log upland RHCAs and drop	Proposed action	Harvest is proposed in three units within RHCAs to promote
overstocked (BaCo)	trees in true riparian	modified	cottonwood growth. Non-commercial thinning in meadows
(AFRC) (BOI)	habitats		was identified as an opportunity to improve habitat for mule
			deer in RHCAs.
Mule deer fawning	Increase understory forage	Additional	Alt 2M and meadow restoration
habitat (ODFW)	component and transition	alternatives	
	habitat	developed and	
		evaluated	
Conifer encroachment	Supports conifer removal	Comment considered	Thank you for your support of this proposed treatment
in cottonwood stands		but no changes	
(AFRC)		needed	
Transportation and			
Forest Access			
No roads should be	Use gates or seasonal	Analysis	Three gates were identified in areas with wildlife habitat
permanently closed	closures	supplemented,	concern or areas with. Other roads identified for closure and
(BaCo)		improved or	decommissioning are either already grown in or causing
		modified	resource damage.

ISSUE/CONCERN (PARTY/IES ACRONYM)	RECOMMENDATION/ SUGGESTED REMEDY	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
System and non-	Analyze impacts of system	Literature/science	
system roads (GHCC)	and non-system roads on	considered	
	soils, wildlife, aquatic and		
	other resources		
Non-system road	Physically close all new	Comment considered	No new system roads are proposed. All temp roads will by
closures (ODFW)	roads created by the	but no changes	returned to standards outlined by the regional soils
	project	needed	guidelines
Temp roads (GHCC)	Analyze an alternative that	Additional	Alternative E was developed, but not considered for detailed
(OW)	does not include temp	alternatives	analysis
	roads	developed and	
		evaluated	
Temp roads (OW)	Rank new road segments	Comment considered	Existing non-system roads used whenever possible
	according to cost/benefit	but no changes	
		needed	
Travel Rule (GHCC)	Decision should include	Comment considered	
	closure of cross country	but no changes	
	travel within the project	needed	
	area		
Temp roads (BOI)	Analyze in a way that	Analysis	
	shows how impacts are	supplemented,	
	spread out through time	improved or	
		modified	
WW Travel Analysis	Close and/or	Comment considered	
Report (GHCC) (ODFW)	decommission roads within	but no changes	
	the project area that are	needed	
	identified as unneeded		
NEPA		Т	
Not using NEPA	Use HFRA authorities	Comment considered	HFRA would not buy much in the NEPA process. With the
efficiencies (AFRC)		but no changes	complexity level of treatments in WSR we felt it prudent to
(BOI)		needed	stay the course with a traditional EA.
NEPA compliance	Analyze direct, indirect and	Analysis	Cumulative effects will be summarized and disclosed in an
(GHCC)	cumulative effects for soils,	supplemented,	appendix and discussed in more detail in specialist reports.

ISSUE/CONCERN (PARTY/IES ACRONYM)	RECOMMENDATION/ SUGGESTED REMEDY	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
	MIS, ESA, RHCAs,	improved or modified	Mitigations are located in the constraints and mitigation section of the EA and disclosed in respective specialist reports.
Consider reasonable	Develop an alternative that	Analysis	
range of alternatives	responds to each of the	supplemented,	
(GHCC)	issues raised in this letter	improved or modified	
Management areas	Identify MAs and goals of	Comment considered	
(BOI)	each to compare to align	but no changes	
	with forest plan in analysis	needed	
Wildlife			
Use best available	Analyze elk security using	Comment considered	
science (GHCC)	distance band approach	but no changes	
		needed	
Snags (GHCC) (OW)	Request a robust analysis	Comment considered	
	of existing snags and	but no changes	
	effects of alternatives	needed	
Connectivity (GHCC)	Take a hard look at	Comment considered	Connectivity incorporated into proposed action and
	connectivity and	but no changes	subsequent action alternatives
	permeability and review	needed	
LIEL mat malay sant (DOI)	effects to fragmentation	C	
HEI not relevant (BOI)	Amendment of forest plan if this objective cannot be	Comment considered	
	met.	but no changes needed	
Elk Security (ODFW)	Address intent of new	Comment considered	
Lik Security (ODI W)	forest plan	but no changes	
	Torest plan	needed	
Botany			
Complete new surveys	Disclose effects from the	Analysis	Fungi surveys were completed
in every unit (GHCC)	project to identified	supplemented,	
, ,	botanical, moss, bryophyte	improved or	
	and fungi	modified	

ISSUE/CONCERN (PARTY/IES ACRONYM)	RECOMMENDATION/ SUGGESTED REMEDY	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
Silviculture			
Diseased trees and mistletoe (GHCC)	Create an alternative to leave diseased trees, and if alternatives propose to remove diseased trees FS should address effects to future snag retention	Comment considered but no changes needed	Snag retention analyzed in the EA
Treatment Return Interval (BOI)	Treat more aggressively for anticipated delay in return interval (>10yrs).	Comment considered but no changes needed	
Silv Rx (OW)	Provide clear descriptions in analysis	Analysis supplemented, improved or modified	
HRV and Landscape heterogeneity (BOI)	Supportive of analysis based on HRV and stand heterogeneity, use variable density thinning	Comment considered but no changes needed	
Climate Change	, ,		
Cumulative impact of project on CC (GHCC)	Disclose effects to project contribution	Analysis supplemented, improved or modified	See climate change analysis in the EA
Carbon Storage (OW)	Adopt alternative that maintains and increases carbon storage in ecosystem	Comment considered but no changes needed	This is a purpose and need item. All alternatives are designed to improve the carbon balance.
Undeveloped lands			
Unroaded areas (OW)	Consider an alternative that proposes only non-commercial veg mgt and rx fire within all IRAs and	Additional alternatives developed and evaluated	Considered, not analyzed in detail

ISSUE/CONCERN (PARTY/IES ACRONYM)	RECOMMENDATION/ SUGGESTED REMEDY	RESPONSE	REMARKS AND/OR PROJECT RECORD CITATIONS
	uninventoried roadless		
	areas		
Grazing			
Impacts from logging	Create alternative that	Comment considered	Not an issue that can be addressed within the scope of this
and grazing (GHCC)	reduces grazing after	but no changes	project
	logging and burning	needed	
Fuels			
Transference of Risk	Consider how our	Comment considered	
(BOI)	treatments affect	but no changes	
	landowners in project area	needed	
Fire risk to homes (OW)	Treat only around homes,	Comment considered	
	not in other forested areas	but no changes	
		needed	